

ANNUAL INDEX

1970 MILITARY LAW REVIEW

(Volumes 47 through 50)

References are to volume numbers and pages of *Military Law Review*, Volumes 47 through 50 (DA Pams 27-100-47 through 27-100-50). Indexes for previous volumes of *Military Law Review* are:

Cumulative Index (Vols. 1 thru 22) -----	22 Mil. L. Rev.
173 (Oct. 1963)	
1964 Annual Index (Vols. 23 thru 26) ----	26 Mil. L. Rev.
145 (Oct. 1964)	
1965 Annual Index (Vols. 27 thru 30) ----	30 Mil. L. Rev.
125 (Oct. 1965)	
1966 Annual Index (Vols. 31 thru 34) ----	34 Mil. L. Rev.
183 (Oct. 1966)	
1967 Annual Index (Vols. 35 thru 38) ----	38 Mil. L. Rev.
181 (Oct. 1967)	
Ten-Year Index (Vols. 1 thru 40) -----	40 Mil. L. Rev.
65 (Apr. 1968)	
1968 Annual Index (Vols. 39 thru 42) ----	42 Mil. L. Rev.
Change 1 (24 Mar. 1969)	
1969 Annual Index (Vols. 43 thru 46) ----	46 Mil. L. Rev.
127 (Oct. 1969)	

TABLE OF LEADING ARTICLES AND COMMENTS—AUTHORS

Boller, Major Richard R., <i>Pretrial Restraint in the Military</i> -----	50/71
Brahms, Major David M. (USMC), <i>They Step to a Different Drummer: A Critical Analysis of the Current Department of Defense Position Vis-A-Vis In-Service Conscientious Objectors</i> -----	47/1
Cumming, Major Richard E., <i>The Staff Judge Advocate as Legal Adviser to the Post Surgeon: Malpractice, Hospital Negligence and Related Matters</i> -----	49/109
Cushman, Major Charles A. (USMC), <i>The "Custody" Requirement for Habeas Corpus</i> -----	50/1
Dahlinger, Major Richard K., <i>Tort Liability of Nonappropriated Fund Activities</i> -----	50/33

ANNUAL INDEX

1970 MILITARY LAW REVIEW

(Volumes 47 through 50)

References are to volume numbers and pages of *Military Law Review*, Volumes 47 through 50 (DA Pams 27-100-47 through 27-100-50). Indexes for previous volumes of *Military Law Review* are:

Cumulative Index (Vols. 1 thru 22) -----	22 Mil. L. Rev.
173 (Oct. 1963)	
1964 Annual Index (Vols. 23 thru 26) ----	26 Mil. L. Rev.
145 (Oct. 1964)	
1965 Annual Index (Vols. 27 thru 30) ----	30 Mil. L. Rev.
125 (Oct. 1965)	
1966 Annual Index (Vols. 31 thru 34) ----	34 Mil. L. Rev.
183 (Oct. 1966)	
1967 Annual Index (Vols. 35 thru 38) ----	38 Mil. L. Rev.
181 (Oct. 1967)	
Ten-Year Index (Vols. 1 thru 40) -----	40 Mil. L. Rev.
65 (Apr. 1968)	
1968 Annual Index (Vols. 39 thru 42) ----	42 Mil. L. Rev.
Change 1 (24 Mar. 1969)	
1969 Annual Index (Vols. 43 thru 46) ----	46 Mil. L. Rev.
127 (Oct. 1969)	

TABLE OF LEADING ARTICLES AND COMMENTS—AUTHORS

Boller, Major Richard R., <i>Pretrial Restraint in the Military</i> -----	50/71
Brahms, Major David M. (USMC), <i>They Step to a Different Drummer: A Critical Analysis of the Current Department of Defense Position Vis-A-Vis In-Service Conscientious Objectors</i> -----	47/1
Cumming, Major Richard E., <i>The Staff Judge Advocate as Legal Adviser to the Post Surgeon: Malpractice, Hospital Negligence and Related Matters</i> -----	49/109
Cushman, Major Charles A. (USMC), <i>The "Custody" Requirement for Habeas Corpus</i> -----	50/1
Dahlinger, Major Richard K., <i>Tort Liability of Nonappropriated Fund Activities</i> -----	50/33

50 MILITARY LAW REVIEW

Gerwig, Robert, "... In the Line of his Duty"	50/117
Halligan, Patrick D., <i>Real Cost Contracts</i>	50/149
Haught, William D., and McGowan, Major James J., <i>Consular Protection of Foreign Nationals in the United States Armed Forces</i>	48/151
Heath, Major Stratton R., Jr., <i>Status of Forces Agreement as a Basis for United States Custody Of an Accused</i>	49/45
McGowan, Major James J., and Haught, William D., <i>Consular Protection of Foreign Nationals in the United States Armed Forces</i>	48/151
O'Roark, Major Dulaney L., Jr., <i>Extraordinary Contractual Actions in Facilitation of the National Defense From A Department of Defense Attorney's Point of View</i>	47/35
Reese, Colonel Thomas H., <i>Robert Edward Lee—No Citizen He</i>	50/141
Schrader, Lieutenant Colonel George D., <i>Defense in Outer Space</i> ..	49/157
Shaw, Colonel William L., <i>Selective Service Litigation and the 1967 Statute</i>	48/33
Sherman, Edward F., <i>Judicial Review of Military Determinations and the Exhaustion of Remedies Requirement</i>	48/91
Simon, Major Ernest A., <i>The Operation of the Korean Armistice Agreement</i>	47/105
Smith, Captain John Clay, Jr., <i>Executive Orders 10988 and 11491, and Craft Recognition in the Federal Service</i>	48/1
Wiener, Colonel Frederick Bernays, <i>Martial Law Today</i>	49/89
Wildermuth, Major John G., <i>Contracting-Out: A Case for Realistic Contract Vs. In-House Decision-Making</i>	49/1

TABLE OF LEADING ARTICLES AND COMMENTS—TITLES

Consular Protection of Foreign Nationals in the U. S. Armed Forces, <i>William D. Haught and Major James J. McGowan</i>	48/151
Contracting-Out: A Case for Realistic Contract Vs. In-House Decision-Making, <i>Major John G. Wildermuth</i>	49/1
"Custody" Requirement for Habeas Corpus, <i>The, Major Charles A. Cushman (USMC)</i>	50/1
Defense in Outer Space, <i>Lieutenant Colonel George D. Schrader</i> ..	49/157
Executive Orders 10988 and 11491, and Craft Recognition in the Federal Service, <i>Captain John Clay Smith, Jr.</i>	48/1
Extraordinary Contractual Actions in Facilitation of the National Defense From A Department of Defense Attorney's Point of View, <i>Major Dulaney L. O'Roark, Jr.</i>	47/35
"... In the Line of His Duty," <i>Robert Gerwig</i>	50/117
Judicial Review of Military Determinations and the Exhaustion of Remedies Requirement, <i>Edward F. Sherman</i>	48/91
Martial Law Today, <i>Colonel Frederick Bernays Wiener</i>	49/89
Operation of the Korean Armistice Agreement, <i>The, Major Ernest A. Simon</i>	47/105
Pretrial Restraint in the Military, <i>Major Richard R. Boller</i>	50/71

ANNUAL INDEX

Real Cost Contracts, <i>Patrick D. Halligan</i>	50/149
Robert Edward Lee—No Citizen He, <i>Colonel Thomas H. Reese</i>	50/141
Selective Service Litigation and the 1967 Statute, <i>Colonel William L. Shaw</i>	48/33
Status of Forces Agreements as a Basis for United States Custody of an Accused, <i>Major Stratton R. Heath, Jr.</i>	49/45
Staff Judge Advocate as Legal Adviser to the Post Surgeon: Malpractice, Hospital Negligence and Related Matters, The, <i>Major Richard E. Cumming</i>	49/109
They Step to a Different Drummer: A Critical Aanalysis of the Current Department of Defense Position Vis-A-Vis In-Service Conscientious Objectors, <i>Major David M. Brahms (USMC)</i>	47/1
Tort Liability of Nonappropriated Fund Activities, <i>Major Richard K. Dahlinger</i>	50/33

SUBJECT WORD INDEX

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

Exhaustion of remedies	48/91
Line of duty determinations	50/117
Martial law	49/89
Robert E. Lee	50/141

CLAIMS

Line of duty as affecting	50/117
Medical malpractice	49/109
Nonappropriated funds	50/33

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

Conscientious objectors	
In-service	47/1
Pre-service	48/33
Martial law	49/89
Right to bail or release from pretrial restraint	50/71

HABEAS CORPUS

Custody requirement	50/1
---------------------------	------

INTERNATIONAL LAW

Korean Armistice	47/105
Practicing foreign nationals	48/151
SOFA Agreements	49/45

LABOR LAW

Crait severances in federal government	48/1
--	------

LEGAL ASSISTANCE

To military physicians	49/109
------------------------------	--------

MILITARY JUSTICE

Habeas corpus	50/1
Pretrial restraint	50/71

50 MILITARY LAW REVIEW

Under martial law	49/89
Under SOFA Agreements	49/45

MILITARY PERSONNEL

Conscientious objectors	47/1
Line of duty	50/117
Judicial review	48/91

NEGLIGENCE

Medical malpractice	49/109
Nonappropriated fund activities	50/33

PROCUREMENT

Contracting for support services	49/1
Extraordinary contractual remedies	47/35
Real cost contracts	50/149

SELECTIVE SERVICE

Conscientious objectors	47/1
Judicial review of military determinations	48/91
Survey of recent litigation	48/33

TREATIES

Korean Armistice	47/105
Status of Forces	49/45

By Order of the Secretary of the Army:

W. C. WESTMORELAND,
General, United States Army,
Chief of Staff.

Official:

KENNETH G. WICKHAM,
Major General, United States Army,
The Adjutant General.

Distribution:

ACTIVE ARMY: To be distributed in accordance with DA Form 12-4 requirements for Military Law Review.

ARNG & USAR: None.

The first of these is the question of the right of the military to punish. It is a question which has been discussed in many of the cases mentioned above. The military has a right to punish for offenses committed by its members, but this right is not unlimited. It is subject to the control of the civil authorities. The military cannot punish for offenses which are not military offenses. It cannot punish for offenses which are committed by civilians. It cannot punish for offenses which are committed by members of the military who are not subject to military law. The military's right to punish is limited to offenses committed by members of the military who are subject to military law.

The second of these is the question of the right of the military to search. It is a question which has also been discussed in many of the cases mentioned above. The military has a right to search for military offenses, but this right is not unlimited. It is subject to the control of the civil authorities. The military cannot search for offenses which are not military offenses. It cannot search for offenses which are committed by civilians. It cannot search for offenses which are committed by members of the military who are not subject to military law. The military's right to search is limited to offenses committed by members of the military who are subject to military law.

The third of these is the question of the right of the military to detain. It is a question which has also been discussed in many of the cases mentioned above. The military has a right to detain for military offenses, but this right is not unlimited. It is subject to the control of the civil authorities. The military cannot detain for offenses which are not military offenses. It cannot detain for offenses which are committed by civilians. It cannot detain for offenses which are committed by members of the military who are not subject to military law. The military's right to detain is limited to offenses committed by members of the military who are subject to military law.

The fourth of these is the question of the right of the military to arrest. It is a question which has also been discussed in many of the cases mentioned above. The military has a right to arrest for military offenses, but this right is not unlimited. It is subject to the control of the civil authorities. The military cannot arrest for offenses which are not military offenses. It cannot arrest for offenses which are committed by civilians. It cannot arrest for offenses which are committed by members of the military who are not subject to military law. The military's right to arrest is limited to offenses committed by members of the military who are subject to military law.

The fifth of these is the question of the right of the military to punish. It is a question which has also been discussed in many of the cases mentioned above. The military has a right to punish for military offenses, but this right is not unlimited. It is subject to the control of the civil authorities. The military cannot punish for offenses which are not military offenses. It cannot punish for offenses which are committed by civilians. It cannot punish for offenses which are committed by members of the military who are not subject to military law. The military's right to punish is limited to offenses committed by members of the military who are subject to military law.

